West of Scotland Cancer Network

Urological Cancer Managed Clinical Network



Audit Report

Testicular Cancer Quality Performance Indicators

Clinical Audit Data: 01 October 2020 to 30 September 2021

Mr Nkem Umez-Eronini MCN Clinical Lead

Karen Connor MCN Manager

Kallely Soumine George Information Officer

CONTENTS

EX	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	4
1.	INTRODUCTION	9
2.	BACKGROUND	9
	2.1 NATIONAL CONTEXT	9
	2.2 WEST OF SCOTLAND CONTEXT	10
3.	METHODOLOGY	11
4.	RESULTS AND ACTION REQUIRED	11
	QPI 1: RADIOLOGICAL STAGING	12
	QPI 2: PRE-OPERATIVE ASSESSMENT	13
	QPI 3: PRIMARY ORCHIDECTOMY	14
	QPI 4: MULTIDISCIPLINARY TEAM MEETING (MDT)	17
	QPI 6: ADJUVANT TREATMENT OF STAGE I SEMINOMA WITH CARBOPLATIN	18
	QPI 8: SYSTEMIC THERAPY	19
	QPI 9: COMPUTED TOMOGRAPHY SCANNING FOR SURVEILLANCE PATIENTS	20
	QPI 10: 30-DAY MORTALITY	21
	QPI 11: CLINICAL TRIAL AND RESEARCH STUDY ACCESS	22
5.	NEXT STEPS	23
AC	CKNOWLEDGEMENTS	24
AB	BBREVIATIONS	25
RE	FERENCES	26
ΑP	28	
ΑP	PPENDIX 2: CANCER AUDIT TIMELINE	29
ΑP	PPENDIX 3: NHS BOARD ACTION PLANS	30

Testicular Cancer QPI Overview

Patients diagnosed between October 2020 - September 2021

Number of cases diagnosed:


79

Mode age


Males: 25-29

Case ascertainment:

86.8%



Quality Performance Indicators



Conclusion

- Overall performance against the Testicular QPIs was variable and generally good across the region.
- Targets were met regionally for the following indicators.Pre-Operative Assessment .MDT Meeting .Quality of Adjuvant Treatment .Imaging
 for Surveillance Patients .30 day mortality (Orchidectomy & Radiotherapy).
- QPI consistently met for 30 day mortality (Orchidectomy and Radiotherapy).
- Improvement in performance against QPI 1 (Radiological Staging) and QPI 8 (Systemic Therapy).

Executive Summary

Introduction

This report contains an assessment of the performance of West of Scotland (WoS) urological cancer services using clinical audit data relating to patients diagnosed with testicular cancer in the twelve months between 01 October 2020 and 30 September 2021

In order to ensure the success of the Cancer QPIs in driving quality improvement in cancer care, QPIs will continue to be assessed for clinical effectiveness and relevance. The initial formal review of Testicular Cancer QPIs took place in 2018. With seven years of reporting now complete, a second cycle of review commenced in April 2022. This clinically led review aims to identify potential refinements to the current QPIs and involves key clinicians from each of the Regional Cancer Networks.

Methodology

Further detail on the audit and analysis methodology and data quality is available in the meta data within appendix 1.

Results

A summary of the Testicular Cancer QPIs for 2020/21 clinical audit data is presented below, with a more detailed analysis of the results set out in the main report. Data are analysed by location of diagnosis or treatment, and illustrate NHS Board performance against each target and overall regional performance for each performance indicator. Results are presented graphically and the accompanying tabular format also highlights any missing data and its' possible effect on any of the measured outcomes.

Where the number of cases meeting the denominator criteria for any indicator is between one and four, the percentage calculation has not been shown on any associated charts or tables. This is to avoid any unwarranted variation associated with small numbers and to minimise the risk of disclosure. Any charts or tables impacted by this restricted data are denoted with a dash (-). An asterisk (*) is applied to indicate a denominator of zero and to distinguish between this and a 0% performance.

Any commentary provided by NHS Health Boards relating to the impacted indicators will, however, be included as a record of continuous improvement.

Please note actions have been categorised into the following groupings for internal management purposes to allow regional trends to be identified, and co-ordinated regional action across multiple tumour groups where appropriate; MDT, Pathology, Radiology, Other diagnostic, Treatment Decision, Time to Treatment, Surgery, Oncology, Resource, Workforce, Capacity, Clinical Documentation and Data Capture.

Summary of QPI Results

Colour	r Key	Symbol k	Кеу
	Above QPI target	†	Analysed by Board/hospital of surgery
	Below QPI target	^	Small numbers in some Boards - percentage comparisons over a single year should be viewed with caution

Summary of the QPI results for clinical audit data. A dash (-) denotes restricted data where the denominator is less than 5. An asterisk (*) denotes data where the denominator is zero.

Testicular MCN							
Year	AA	FV	GGC	LAN	WoSCAN		
2020 - 21	13	6	40	20	79		
2019 - 20	5	6	44	16	71		
2018 - 19	8	12	46	13	79		

		Performance by NHS Board of diagnosis							
Quality Performance Indicator (QPI)	QPI target	Year	AA	FV	GGC	LAN	WoSCAN		
QPI 1: Radiological Staging - Patients with testicular cancer		2020 - 21	75.0%	80.0%	94.6%	85.0%	87.8%		
should be evaluated with appropriate imaging to detect the extent of disease and guide treatment decision making.	95%	2019 - 20	80.0%	66.7%	84.2%	93.3%	84.4%		
		2018 - 19	85.7%	72.7%	85.0%	100.0%	85.5%		
QPI 2: Pre-operative Assessment - Patients with testicular		2020 - 21	100.0%	60.0%	100.0%	100.0%	97.3%		
cancer should have pre-operative assessment	95%	2019 - 20	100.0%	100.0%	94.7%	93.3%	95.3%		
of the testicle and Serum Tumour Markers (STMs).		2018 - 19	100.0%	54.5%	95.0%	100.0%	89.9%		
QPI 3: Primary Orchidectomy - Patients with testicular		2020 - 21	66.7%	40.0%	76.3%	95.0%	77.3%		
cancer should have primary orchidectomy within 3 weeks of	95%	2019 - 20	100.0%	16.7%	66.7%	71.4%	65.6%		
ultrasonographic diagnosis.		2018 - 19	71.4%	81.8%	77.3%	90.9%	79.5%		
QPI 4: Multi-Disciplinary Team Meeting - Patients with		2020 - 21	92.3%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	98.7%		
testicular cancer should be discussed by a Multi Disciplinary Team (MDT) to agree a definitive management	95%	2019 - 20	100.0%	100.0%	95.2%	93.8%	95.7%		
plan post orchidectomy with staging and pathology.		2018 - 19	87.5%	100.0%	90.9%	100.0%	93.3%		

		Performance by NHS Board of diagnosis						
Quality Performance Indicator (QPI)	QPI target	Year	AA	FV	GGC	LAN	WoSCAN	
QPI 6: Quality of Adjuvant Treatment - Patients with stage I seminoma receiving adjuvant single dose carboplatin should have an AUC of 7mg/ml/min based on ethylene diamine tetra-		2020 - 21	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%	100.0%	95.5%	
		2019 - 20	100.0%	50.0%	87.5%	100.0%	84.6%	
acetic acid (EDTA) clearance.		2018 - 19	-	100.0%	92.9%	80.0%	90.5%	
QPI 8: Systemic Therapy - Patients with metastatic testicular		2020 - 21	100.0%	50.0%	90.0%	75.0%	82.4%	
cancer who are undergoing systemic therapy should receive Systemic Anti-Cancer Therapy (SACT) within 3 weeks of a	95%	2019 - 20	1	100.0%	33.3%	50.0%	40.0%	
MDT decision to treat with SACT.		2018 - 19	50.0%	-	70.0%	100.0%	70.0%	
QPI 9: Imaging for Surveillance Patients - Patients with stage I testicular non-seminomatous (or mixed) germ cell		2020 - 21	100.0%	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
tumour (NSGCT) under surveillance should undergo CT or	85%	2019 - 20	-	100.0%	75.0%	0.0%	75.0%	
MRI scanning of the abdomen (+/- imaging of the chest and pelvis), as per clinical relevance.		2018 - 19	-	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	
	<5%	2020 - 21	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
QPI 10: 30 Day Mortality (Orchidectomy) - 30 day mortality following treatment for testicular cancer.		2019 - 20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
ŭ		2018 - 19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
		2020 - 21	-	-	0.0%	-	0.0%	
QPI 10: 30 Day Mortality (Radiotherapy) - 30 day mortality following treatment for testicular cancer.	<5%	2019 - 20	-	-	-	0.0%	0.0%	
		2018 - 19	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	
Clinical Trials 2020: Proportion of patients diagnosed with		2020 - 21	0.0%	0.0%	1.9%	0.0%	1.1%	
testicular cancer who are consented for a clinical trial /	15%	2019 - 20	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	5.8%	1.1%	
research study.		2018 - 19	0.0%	0.0%	4.4%	0.0%	4.4%	

Conclusions and Action Required

Overall WoS results from the seventh year of Testicular Cancer QPI analysis demonstrates that NHS Boards have found some QPI targets challenging to meet. Some variance in performance does exist across the region and, as per the agreed Regional governance process, each NHS Board was asked to complete a Performance Summary Report, providing a documented response where performance was below the QPI target. NHS Boards provided detailed comments indicating valid clinical reasons, or in some cases patient choice or co-morbidities, have influenced patient management. Remaining actions are summarised below and outlined in the main report under the relevant section.

The MCN will actively take forward regional actions identified and NHS Boards are asked to develop local Action/Improvement Plans in response to the findings presented in the report. A summary of actions for each NHS Board has been included within the Action Plan templates in Appendix 3.

Action required:

QPI 3 – Primary Orchidectomy

- NHS Forth Valley to ensure the radiology department contact the consultant on-call directly to avoid any extra delay, if a patient is found to have a suspicion of malignant tumour at ultrasound.
- NHSGGC to reiterate to Clyde team the need for orchidectomy patients to be managed by oncall team.

QPI 8 – Systemic Therapy

 MCN to propose change at Formal Review to exclude metastatic patients undergoing emergency primary chemotherapy from the measurement of this QPI.

Completed Action Plans should be returned to WoSCAN within two months of publication of this report.

Progress against these plans will be monitored by the MCN Advisory Board and any service or clinical issue which the Advisory Board considers not to have been adequately addressed will be escalated to the NHS Board Territorial Lead Cancer Clinician and Regional Lead Cancer Clinician.

Additionally, progress will be reported annually to the Regional Cancer Advisory Group (RCAG) by NHS Board Territorial Lead Cancer Clinicians and MCN Clinical Leads, and nationally on a three-yearly basis to Healthcare Improvement Scotland as part of the governance processes set out in CEL 06 (2012).

1. Introduction

This report contains an assessment of the performance of West of Scotland (WoS) urological cancer services using clinical audit data relating to patients diagnosed with testicular cancer in the twelve months between 01 October 2020 and 30 September 2021. These audit data underpin much of the regional development/service improvement work of the Managed Clinical Network (MCN) and regular reporting of activity and performance is a fundamental requirement of an MCN to assure the quality of care delivered across the region.

Twelve months of data were measured against the Testicular Cancer Quality Performance Indicators¹ (QPIs) for the seventh consecutive year following the initial Healthcare Improvement Scotland (HIS) publication of the QPIs in 2014. The three most recent years of results are presented within this audit report for QPIs where results have remained comparable.

In order to ensure the success of the Cancer QPIs in driving quality improvement in cancer care, QPIs will continue to be assessed for clinical effectiveness and relevance. The initial formal review of Testicular Cancer QPIs took place in 2018. With seven years of reporting now complete, a second cycle of formal review commenced in April 2022. This clinically led review aims to identify potential refinements to the current QPIs and involves key clinicians from each of the Regional Cancer Networks.

2. Background

Four NHS Boards across the WoS serve the 2.5 million population⁶. The configuration of the Multidisciplinary Teams (MDTs) in the region is set out below and each MDT convenes on a weekly basis.

MDT	Constituent Hospitals
Ayrshire & Arran	Ayr Hospital, Crosshouse Hospital
GGC	Glasgow Royal Infirmary, Stobhill Hospital, Gartnavel General Hospital, Queen Elizabeth University Hospital, Victoria Infirmary, Inverclyde Royal Hospital, Royal Alexandra Hospital, Vale of Leven District General
Forth Valley	Forth Valley Royal Hospital
Lanarkshire	Hairmyres Hospital, Monklands Hospital, Wishaw Hospital

2.1 National Context

Testicular cancer is the 16th most common cancer in males with 229 cases diagnosed in Scotland in 2019^{3, 4}. The incidence of testicular cancer increased by 0.2% in the ten years from 2009 to 2019^{3, 4}. Relative survival for testicular cancer is increasing⁵ and testicular cancer has one of the highest survival rates compared to other cancer types with a 1-year relative survival of 95.4%%^{3, 4} (2013-2017). Survival rates are age-standardised to allow fair comparison over time. Major advances in surgical, chemotherapy and radiotherapy treatments for testicular cancer have contributed to the high survival rates observed^{5, 7}.

2.2 West of Scotland Context

A total of 79 cases of testicular cancer were recorded through audit as diagnosed in the WoS between 01 October 2020 and 30 September 2021. The number and percentage of patients diagnosed within each NHS Board is presented in Figure 1. As the largest WoS Board⁵, 50.6% of all new cases of testicular cancer were diagnosed in NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde (NHSGGC).





Fig 1: Number of patients diagnosed with testicular cancer within each WoS NHS Board, from 2018/19 - 2020/21.

The majority of men diagnosed with testicular cancer are in the younger age groups with more than half of all new diagnoses occurring in males under 40 years old. Figure 2 illustrates the distribution of the number of new diagnoses within each 5-year age group for the WoS.






Table 1 shows a breakdown of patients by pathological stage. The majority of patients (77.2%) were stage I. 22.8% of patients were stage II and above.

Table 1: Breakdown of patients diagnosed with testicular cancer by pathological stage, Oct 20 to Sept 21

	N	%
Stage I	33	41.8%
Stage IA	13	16.5%
Stage IB	11	13.9%
Stage IS	4	5.1%
Stage II	1	1.3%
Stage IIA	1	1.3%
Stage IIB	4	5.1%
Stage IIC	1	1.3%
Stage III	5	6.3%
Stage IIIA	0	0.0%
Stage IIIB	1	1.3%
Stage IIIC	1	1.3%
Not Recorded	3	3.8%
Null	1	1.3%
Total	79	

3. Methodology

Further detail on the audit and analysis methodology and data quality is available in the meta data within appendix 1.

4. Results and Action Required

Results of the analysis of Testicular Cancer QPIs are set out in the following sections. Data are presented by location of diagnosis or treatment, and illustrate NHS Board performance against each target and overall regional performance for each performance indicator.

Results are presented graphically and the accompanying tables also highlight any missing data and its possible effect on any of the measured outcomes for the current year of analysis. Where the number of cases meeting the denominator criteria for any indicator is between one and four, the percentage calculation has not been shown on any associated charts or tables. This is to avoid any unwarranted variation associated with small numbers and to minimise the risk of disclosure. Any charts or tables impacted by this restricted data are denoted with a dash (-). An asterisk (*) is used to specify a denominator of zero and to distinguish between this and a 0% performance. Any commentary provided by NHS Boards relating to the impacted indicators will however be included as a record of continuous improvement.

Specific regional and NHS Board actions have been identified to address issues highlighted through the data analysis.

QPI 1: Radiological Staging

Description: Proportion of patients with testicular cancer who undergo Computed Tomography (CT) scanning, ideally

contrast-enhanced CT, of the chest, abdomen and pelvis within 3 weeks of orchidectomy.

Numerator: Number of patients with testicular cancer undergoing CT scanning of the chest, abdomen and pelvis (CT


CAP) within 3 weeks of orchidectomy.

Denominator: All patients with testicular cancer.

Exclusions: • Patients undergoing chemotherapy prior to orchidectomy.

Target: 95%

Fig 3: The proportion of patients with testicular cancer who undergo CT scanning of the chest, abdomen and pelvis within three weeks of orchidectomy, from 2018/19 – 2020/21.



Performances ranged from 75.0% in NHS Ayrshire & Arran to 94.6% in NHSGGC. NHSGGC were just short of the target with 94.6%. The overall performance for the WoS was 87.8% with all Boards failing to meet the target of 95%. It should be noted that the number of patients included within the denominator is low and can have a considerable effect on overall proportions; therefore comparisons between NHS Boards should be viewed with caution.

All the Boards reviewed cases not meeting the target and provided detailed clinical feedback.

NHS Ayrshire & Arran commented that three patients failed the target due to pressures related to access to timely CT associated with COVID. NHS Forth Valley commented that one patient failed as was not suspicious of cancer and cancer diagnosis came from pathology from orchiectomy only. In NHSGGC and NHS Lanarkshire the majority of cases not meeting the target did receive a CT scan, but this took place out with the specified 3 week window.

QPI 2: Pre-operative Assessment

Patients with testicular cancer should have pre-operative assessment of the testicle and Serum Tumour Markers (STMs). In most instances, the diagnosis of testicular tumours is established with a carefully performed physical examination and scrotal ultrasound¹. When conducting preoperative assessments, evidence has demonstrated the importance of investigating STM concentrations and conducting a testicular ultrasound. Serum determination of tumour markers before and after orchidectomy allow for staging and prognosis to be determined¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with testicular cancer who undergo preoperative assessment of the testicle which,

at a minimum, includes:
(i) STMs*, and

(ii) Testicular ultrasound.

Numerator: Number of patients with testicular cancer undergoing orchidectomy, who undergo a preoperative

assessment of the testicle which, at a minimum, includes:

(i) STMs*, and

(ii) Testicular ultrasound.


Denominator: All patients with testicular cancer undergoing orchidectomy.

Exclusions: • Patients who refuse to undergo assessment.

Patients undergoing chemotherapy prior to orchidectomy.

Target: 95%

Fig 4: The proportion of patients with testicular cancer who underwent preoperative assessment of the testicle (STMs and testicular ultrasound), from 2018/19 – 2020/21.



		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21		
	N	7	5	12		
A&A	D	7	5	12		
	%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%		
	N	6	6	3		
FV	D	11	6	5		
	%	54.5%	100.0%	60.0%		
	N	38	36	37		
GGC	D	40	38	37		
	%	95.0%	94.7%	100.0%		
	N	11	14	20		
Lan	D	11	15	20		
	%	100.0%	93.3%	100.0%		
	N	62	61	72		
WoS	D	69	64	74		
	%	89.9%	95.3%	97.3%		
(-) Data is not shown: denominator less than 5						

(-) Data is not shown; denominator less than 5.

(*) denotes a zero.

Overall in the WoS 97.3% of patients with testicular cancer underwent preoperative assessment of the testicle which meets the 95% target. Whilst the NHS Forth Valley failed the target with 60.0%; NHS Ayrshire & Arran, NHSGGC and NHS Lanarkshire achieved the target with 100%. It should be noted

^{*} AFP – Alpha-fetoprotein, HCG – Human chorionic gonadotropin, LDH – Lactate dehydrogenase

that the number of patients included within the denominator is low and can have a considerable effect on overall proportions; therefore comparisons between NHS Boards should be viewed with caution.

NHS Forth Valley commented that of the two patients failing, one patient did not have LDH checked pre-operatively and the other patient was an incidental finding of malignancy at surgery for suspected hydrocele and did not have any tumour markers taken.

QPI 3: Primary Orchidectomy

Orchidectomy is the primary therapeutic intervention for patients who have early-stage testicular cancer. The overall aim of primary orchidectomy is to remove the tumour and minimise local recurrence and abnormal lymphatic spread¹. To ensure pathological information is obtained and future treatment decision making can be made, it is important that orchidectomy is carried out as quickly as possible from diagnosis¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with testicular cancer who undergo primary orchidectomy within 3 weeks of

ultrasonographic diagnosis.

Numerator: Number of patients with testicular cancer undergoing orchidectomy within 3 weeks of ultrasonographic


diagnosis.

Denominator: All patients with testicular cancer undergoing orchidectomy.

Exclusions: • Patients undergoing chemotherapy prior to orchidectomy.

Target: 95%

Fig 5: The proportion of patients with testicular cancer who undergo primary orchidectomy within 3 weeks of ultrasonographic diagnosis from 2018/19 – 2020/21.



		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	N	5	5	8
AA	D	7	5	12
	%	71.4%	100.0%	66.7%
	N	9	1	2
FV	D	11	6	5
	%	81.8%	16.7%	40.0%
	N	34	26	29
GGC	D	44	39	38
	%	77.3%	66.7%	76.3%
	N	10	10	19
Lan	D	11	14	20
	%	90.9%	71.4%	95.0%
WoS	N	58	42	58
	D	73	64	75
	%	79.5%	65.6%	77.3%

0040/40 0040/00 0000/04

- (-) Data is not shown; denominator less than 5.
- (*) denotes a zero.

The 95% target for QPI 3 was not achieved. In the WoS 77.3% of patients with testicular cancer underwent primary orchidectomy within 3 weeks of ultrasonographic diagnosis. Only NHS Lanarkshire met the target. It should be noted that the number of patients included within the denominator is low and can have a considerable effect on overall proportions; therefore comparisons between NHS Boards should be viewed with caution.

Boards reviewed the cases not meeting the target. Within NHS Ayrshire & Arran the four patients not meeting this QPI waited more than 3 weeks for surgery after ultrasound mainly due to a delay to first

clinic appointment due to pressures related to COVID. One patient did not attend their first clinic appointment which added delay to surgery.

NHS Forth Valley commented that of the three patients failed, two were just outside the three week window and the final patient had a delay which seemed to be exacerbated due to being over the festive period. All patients had the initial diagnostic ultrasound requested by GP before being referred to the hospital urology service by GP.

NHSGGC commented that nine patients failed, all were added to In-Patient Waiting List rather than having emergency surgery arranged by the on-call team.

Action Required:

- NHS Forth Valley to ensure the radiology department contact the consultant on call directly to avoid any extra delay, if a patient is found to have a suspicion of malignant tumour at ultrasound.
- NHSGGC to reiterate to Clyde team the need for orchidectomy patients to be managed by oncall team.

QPI 4: Multidisciplinary Team Meeting (MDT)

Patients with testicular cancer should be discussed by a multidisciplinary team to agree a definitive management plan post orchidectomy with staging and pathology. Orchidectomy can be used as a diagnostic tool as well as definitive treatment for patients with testicular cancer¹. It is important to have the information that is gained from this procedure available at the MDT meeting to ensure a fully informed decision, including tumour type, prognosis and risk factors, can be made on the best management plan for the patient¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with testicular cancer who are discussed at an MDT meeting to agree a definitive

management plan post orchidectomy.

Numerator: Number of patients with testicular cancer undergoing orchidectomy who are discussed at the MDT to


agree a definitive management plan post orchidectomy.

Denominator: All patients with testicular cancer undergoing orchidectomy.

Exclusions: None.

Target: 95%

Fig 6: The proportion of patients with testicular cancer who were discussed at an MDT meeting to agree a definitive management plan post orchidectomy, from 2018/19 – 2020/21.



		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	N	7	5	12
AA	D	8	5	13
	%	87.5%	100.0%	92.3%
	N	11	6	5
FV	D	11	6	5
	%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	N	40	40	40
GGC	D	44	42	40
	%	90.9%	95.2%	100.0%
	N	12	15	20
Lan	D	12	16	20
	%	100.0%	93.8%	100.0%
	N	70	66	77
WoS	D	75	69	78
	%	93.3%	95.7%	98.7%

- (-) Data is not shown; denominator less than 5.
- (*) denotes a zero.

Of the 78 testicular cancer patients undergoing orchidectomy 77 were discussed at the MDT post orchidectomy and a definitive management plan agreed. This equates to 98.7% against the 95% QPI target with only NHS Ayrshire & Arran missing the target, however this represents one patient not meeting. It should be noted that the number of patients included within the denominator is low and can have a considerable effect on overall proportions; therefore comparisons between NHS Boards should be viewed with caution.

NHS Ayrshire & Arran reviewed the one case not meeting this QPI and valid clinical reasons were reported.

QPI 6: Adjuvant treatment of stage I seminoma with carboplatin

Patients with stage I seminoma receiving adjuvant single dose carboplatin should have an AUC (Area under the Curve) of 7mg/ml/min based on ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid (EDTA) clearance¹. Evidence has shown that the administration of carboplatin can prevent metastatic relapse and contralateral cancer in patients with testicular cancer¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with stage I seminoma receiving adjuvant single dose carboplatin AUC of

7mg/ml/min (AUC7), based on EDTA clearance within 8 weeks of orchidectomy.

Numerator: Number of patients with stage I seminoma receiving adjuvant single dose carboplatin AUC7, based on


EDTA clearance within 8 weeks of orchidectomy.

Denominator: All patients with stage I seminoma undergoing adjuvant single dose carboplatin AUC7.

Exclusions: • Patients who are treated within a clinical trial.

Target: 95%

Fig 7: The proportion of patients with stage I seminoma receiving adjuvant single dose carboplatin AUC7 based on EDTA clearance, within 8 weeks of orchidectomy from 2018/19 – 2020/21.



		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	N	*	-	5
AA	D	*	-	5
	%	na	-	100.0%
	N	-	-	-
FV	D	-	-	-
	%	100.0%	-	-
	N	13	14	12
GGC	D	14	16	12
	%	92.9%	87.5%	100.0%
	N	4	5	-
Lan	D	5	5	-
	%	80.0%	100.0%	-
	N	19	22	21
WoS	D	21	26	22
	%	90.5%	84.6%	95.5%

- (-) Data is not shown; denominator less than 5.
- (*) denotes a zero.

Overall in the WoS the 95% target was achieved, with 95.5% of cases with stage I seminoma receiving adjuvant single dose carboplatin AUC of 7mg/ml/min (AUC7), based on EDTA clearance within 8 weeks of orchidectomy. All Boards except NHS Forth Valley met the QPI target. It should be noted that the number of patients included within the denominator is low and can have a considerable effect on overall performance percentages; therefore comparisons between NHS Boards should be viewed with caution. Comparison across years should also be made with caution.

NHS Forth Valley and NHS Lanarkshire performance is not shown due to small numbers. NHS Forth Valley reviewed cases that failed to meet the target and commented that the patients were treated as clinically appropriate.

QPI 8: Systemic Therapy

Patients with metastatic testicular cancer who are undergoing systemic therapy should receive Systemic Anti-Cancer Therapy (SACT) within 3 weeks of an MDT decision to treat with SACT. Evidence has demonstrated that delays in diagnosis and treatment can have a negative impact on the survival rates of patients¹. In certain types of testicular cancer this can have a bigger impact on prognosis and survival¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with metastatic testicular cancer who undergo SACT within 3 weeks of an MDT

decision to treat with SACT.

Numerator: Number of patients with metastatic testicular cancer undergoing SACT within 3 weeks of an MDT


decision to treat with SACT.

Denominator: All patients with metastatic testicular cancer undergoing SACT.

Exclusions: • Patients whose primary chemotherapy management is as part of a chemotherapy clinical trial.

Target: 95%

Fig 8: The proportion of patients with metastatic testicular cancer who undergo SACT within 3 weeks of an MDT decision to treat with SACT, from 2018/19 – 2020/21.



Due to the small numbers meeting the denominator criteria for QPI 8 individual Board results cannot be presented. West of Scotland performance against this QPI was 82.4% (14 out of 17 cases) of patients with metastatic testicular cancer undergoing SACT within 3 weeks of an MDT decision to treat with SACT. All Boards except Ayrshire & Arran did not meet the target. Boards reviewed cases not meeting the target and detailed feedback was provided.

Following last year's report there was an action to review the QPI definitions at formal review which has commenced in April 2022.

QPI 9: Computed Tomography Scanning for Surveillance Patients

Patients with stage I testicular non-seminomatous (or mixed) germ cell tumour (NSGCT) under surveillance should undergo Computed Tomography (CT) scanning of the abdomen +/- chest and pelvis, as per clinical relevance. There are several ways to manage patients with stage I NSGCT: active surveillance is a standard approach to take¹. Evidence has shown that the results from surveillance are as favourable as those who undertake adjuvant therapy¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with stage I testicular NSGCT (or mixed) under surveillance who undergo at least

three CT scans of the abdomen +/- chest and pelvis within 14 months of diagnosis.

Numerator: Number of patients with stage I testicular NSGCT (or mixed) under surveillance who undergo at least

three CT scans of the abdomen +/- chest and pelvis within 14 months of diagnosis.

Denominator: All patients with stage I testicular non-seminomatous (or mixed) germ cell tumour.

Exclusions: • Patients who have received adjuvant chemotherapy.

Patients who are treated within a clinical trial.

Target: 85%

In order to ensure that a full 14-month period had elapsed, enabling accurate measurement, this QPI is reported one year in arrears (2019-2020).

Only a very small number of patients were included within the measurement of this QPI and therefore individual Board results cannot be presented at this time. At regional level, data shows that 100% (8 cases) of patients with stage I testicular NSGCT (or mixed) under surveillance underwent at least three CT scans of the abdomen +/- chest and pelvis within 14 months of diagnosis.

QPI 10: 30-Day Mortality

Treatment-related mortality is a marker of the quality and safety of the whole service provided by the multidisciplinary team (MDT)¹. Outcomes of treatment, including treatment-related morbidity and mortality, should be regularly assessed. Treatment should only be undertaken in individuals that may benefit from that treatment, that is, treatments should not be undertaken in futile situations¹. This QPI is intended to ensure treatment is given appropriately, and the outcome reported on and reviewed.

Description: Proportion of patients with testicular cancer who die within 30 days of treatment for testicular cancer.

Numerator: Number of patients with testicular cancer who receive treatment who die within 30 days of treatment.

Denominator: All patients with testicular cancer undergoing treatment:

(i) Orchidectomy

(ii) Radiotherapy

Exclusions: None.

Target: <5%

Table 2: The proportion of patients with testicular cancer who die within 30 days of Orchidectomy and Radiotherapy, for 2020/21

		Oı	rchidecton	ny	R	adiotherap	у
30 Day mortality			30 Day mortality				
		2018/19	2019/20	2020/21	2018/19	2019/20	2020/21
	N	-	0	0	*	*	*
AA	D	-	5	12	*	*	*
	%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	na	na	na
	N	0	0	0	*	*	*
FV	D	11	6	5	*	*	*
	%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	na	na	na
	N	0	0	0	*	*	-
GGC	D	49	43	44	*	*	-
	%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	na	na	0.0%
	N	0	0	0	*	-	*
Lan	D	12	15	20	*	-	*
	%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	na	0.0%	na
	N	0	0	0	*	-	-
WoS	D	76	69	81	*	-	-
	%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	na	0.0%	0.0%

(-) Data is not shown; denominator less than 5.

There was no mortality within 30 days of treatment for testicular cancer in the WoS. All Boards have met the target for this QPI. Between 1st October 2020 and 30th September 2021, 81 patients underwent orchidectomy as treatment for testicular cancer in the WoS.

QPI 11: Clinical Trial and Research Study Access

Clinical trials are necessary to demonstrate the efficacy of new therapies and other interventions. Evidence suggests improved patient outcomes from participation in clinical trials¹. Clinicians are therefore encouraged to enter patients into well-designed trials and to collect longer-term follow-up data. High accrual activity into clinical trials is used as a goal of an exemplary clinical research site¹.

The clinical trials QPI is measured utilising Scottish Cancer Research Network (SCRN) data and ISD incidence data, as is the methodology currently utilised by the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) and the National Cancer Research Institute (NCRI). The principal benefit of this approach is that this data is already collected utilising a robust mechanism¹.

Description: Proportion of patients with testicular cancer who are consented for a clinical trial/research study.

Numerator: Number of patients with testicular cancer consented for inclusion in a clinical trial/research study.

Denominator: All patients with testicular cancer.

Exclusions: None.

Target: 15%

Table 3: The proportion of patients consented for clinical trials with testicular cancer, by NHS Board of residence in 2020.

NUC Doord of	Consented - QPI Target 15%								
NHS Board of Residence	2018				2019		2020		
Residence	N	D	%	N	D	%	N	D	%
Ayrshire & Arran	0	16	0.0%	-	15	0.0%	0	10	0.0%
Forth Valley	0	10	0.0%	-	11	0.0%	0	10	0.0%
GGC	2	46	4.3%	-	49	0.0%	1	53	1.9%
Lanarkshire	0	18	0.0%	1	17	5.8%	0	18	0.0%
WoS	2	90	2.2%	1	92	1.1%	1	91	1.1%

The denominator represents the 5 year average of ISD incidence data for testicular cancer between 2016 and 2020.

No Boards met the 15% target in 2020. The overall performance for the WoS was 1.1%.

The only active testicular cancer clinical trial in 2020 was the Genetics of Bleomycin Lung Damage (Bleo-LD) Trial.

The clinical trial access QPI for testicular cancer was not met in 2020. This was anticipated as the COVID-19 pandemic forced most clinical trials in the UK to stop recruiting patients for several months in 2020. Pandemic related factors impacting on trials recruitment included:

- Researchers needed to minimise the number of patients visiting hospitals, as these visits risked infecting their patients with COVID-19.
- Patients were reluctant to attend hospitals and participate in trials due to concerns around COVID infection.
- Some clinical staff usually dedicated to cancer research needed to support frontline services as part of the NHS's response to COVID-19 or to support research into COVID-19 vaccination and treatments.
- Sponsor trial personnel were unable to visit sites to perform data safety checks during monitoring visits.

• Formal risk assessments had to be carried out for every trial before it re-opened. This was mandated by sponsors and R&D Director in NHSGGC and the process for this didn't start until the beginning of June.

5. Next Steps

The MCN will actively take forward regional actions identified and NHS Boards are asked to develop local Action/Improvement Plans in response to the findings presented in the report. A summary of actions for each NHS Board has been included within the Action Plan templates in Appendix I.

Acknowledgements

This report has been prepared using clinical audit data provided by the following NHS Boards in the WoSCAN area:

NHS Ayrshire & Arran NHS Forth Valley NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde NHS Lanarkshire

We would like to thank all members and active participants in the cancer network for their continued support of the MCN, and the many hospitals that are committed to making the audit succeed. We also acknowledge the efforts of the clinical effectiveness staff, nurses, and other service users for their work in ensuring the data are available to enable analysis to take place each year. Without their considerable efforts this level of progress would not be possible.

Abbreviations

AA	NHS Ayrshire & Arran
ACaDMe	Acute Cancer Deaths and Mental Health
AFP	Alpha-fetoprotein
AUC	Area Under the Curve
CNS	Clinical Nurse Specialist
СТ	Computed tomography
eCASE	Electronic Cancer Audit Support Environment
EDTA	Ethylene diamine tetra-acetic acid
FV	NHS Forth Valley
GGC	NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde
HCG	Human chorionic gonadotropin
HIS	Healthcare Improvement Scotland
ISD	Information Services Division
LAN	NHS Lanarkshire
LDH	Lactate dehydrogenase
MCN	Managed Clinical Network
MDT(s)	Multidisciplinary Team(s)
NCQSG	National Cancer Quality Steering Group
NHSGGC	NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde
NSGCT	Non-seminomatous germ cell tumour
QPI(s)	Quality Performance Indicator(s)
RCAG	Regional Cancer Advisory Group
SACT	Systemic Anti-Cancer Therapy
STM(s)	Serum Tumour Marker(s)
TNM	Tumour, Nodes, Metastases (staging system)
WoS	West of Scotland
WoSCAN	West of Scotland Cancer Network

References

- Healthcare Improvement Scotland. Testicular Cancer Quality Performance Indicators, v3.0; October 2014 (updated September 2018). [Accessed on: 1st June 2021]. Available at: http://www.healthcareimprovementscotland.org/our_work/cancer_care_improvement/program_me_resources/cancer_qpis.aspx
- Information Services Division. National Data Definitions for the Minimum Core Data Set for Testicular Cancer v3.3; September 2019. [Accessed on: 1st June 2021]. Available at: https://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Cancer/Cancer-Audit/docs/Testicular/Testicular-Cancer-QPI-Dataset-v3-1-FINAL.pdf
- 3. https://www.publichealthscotland.scot/publications/cancer-incidence-in-scotland/cancer-incidence-in-scotland-to-december-2020/
- 4. https://www.publichealthscotland.scot/publications/cancer-incidence-in-scotland/cancer-incidence-in-scotland-cancer-in-scotland-cancer-in-sc
- Information Services Division, NHS National Services Scotland. Cancer in Scotland Trends in Cancer Survival in Scotland, 1983-2007; June 2004 (updated April 2019). [Accessed on: 1st June 2021]. Available at: http://www.isdscotland.org/Health-Topics/Cancer/Cancer-Statistics/
- ScotPHO, Public Health Information for Scotland. Mid 2018 Population Estimates Scotland. [Accessed on: 1st June 2021]. Available at: https://www.nrscotland.gov.uk/statistics-and-data/statistics/statistics-by-theme/population/population-estimates/mid-year-population-estimates/mid-2018
- 7. The American Cancer Society. Testicular Cancer. How is Testicular Cancer Treated? January 2018. [Accessed on: 1st June 2021]. Available at: http://www.cancer.org/cancer/testicularcancer/detailedguide/testicular-cancer-treating-general-treatment-info

Copyright

The content of this report is © copyright WoSCAN unless otherwise stated.

Organisations may copy, quote, publish and broadcast material from this report without payment and without approval provided they observe the conditions below. Other users may copy or download material for private research and study without payment and without approval provided they observe the conditions below.

The conditions of the waiver of copyright are that users observe the following conditions:

- Quote the source as the West of Scotland Cancer Network (WoSCAN).
- Do not use the material in a misleading context or in a derogatory manner.
- Where possible, send us the URL.

The following material may not be copied and is excluded from the waiver:

- The West of Scotland Cancer Network logo.
- Any photographs.

Any other use of copyright material belonging to the West of Scotland Cancer Network requires the formal permission of the Network.

Appendix 1: Meta Data

Report Title	Cancer Audit Report: Testicular Cancer Quality Performance Indicators								
Time Period	Patients diagnosed	between 0	1 October	2020 to 30	Septembe	er 2021			
Data Source	Cancer Audit Supp						eb-		
_	based database wh			it information	on in Scotla	and.			
Data	2200 hrs on 22nd F	ebruary 20	22						
extraction date	A		. II . f = (I ₂ =		\\/ - 00 \	N. I	C		
Methodology	Analysis was performed centrally for the region by the WoSCAN Information Team. The timescales agreed took into account the patient pathway to ensure that a complete treatment record was available for the majority of patients.								
	Initial results wer inconsistencies or which final analysis	obvious ga	ps and a						
	The final data analy with the regional au accurate representate appendix 2 for a mo	udit governa ation of ser	ance proce vice in eac	ess to ensu ch area. Pl	ure that the ease see i	data was	an		
Data Quality	Audit data completeness can be assessed by estimating the proportion of expected patients that have been identified through audit compared to the number reported by the National Cancer registry (provided by ISD, National Services Division), this is known as case ascertainment. Figures should only be used as a guide as it is not possible to compare the same exact cohort from each data source. Note that a 5 year average is taken for cancer registry cases to take account of annual fluctuations in incidence within NHS Boards.								
		Ayrshire & Forth GGC Lanarkshi WoS							
	Cases from audit	Cases from audit 13 6 40 20 79 Cases from ISD (2016-2020)* 10 10 53 18 91							
	Case ascertainment 130.0% 60.0% 75.5% 111.1% 86.8%								

Appendix 2: Cancer Audit Timeline



DIAGNOSIS

Patient is diagnosed, treatment pathway initiated.

DATA COLLECTED



NHS board cancer audit staff collect, verify & input relevant cancer audit information into eCase*.

*eCase - electronic Cancer Audit Support Environment , a dynamic secure centralised web-based database.



SSRS - SQL Server Reporting Services reporting tool to analyse clinical cancer audit data. **PROVISIONAL SSRS DOWNLOAD**

Data download from eCase SSRS by WoScan information team.





Send to NHS Board cancer audit staff to identify any issues, discuss with relevant clinicians & update eCase.



FINAL SSRS DOWNLOAD

Final data download by WoScan information team.



FINAL DATA REPORTS

Woscan information team reproduce excel QPI data tables & report with board performance summaries, highlighting QPI targets not met.



DATA SIGN OFF

Final data reports sent to NHS board cancer audit staff & clinical effectiveness leads to review with clinicians to populate performance summary report with clincal comments & sign data off.

Boards have 4 weeks to complete perform reports providing reasons for why QPI targets not met.

AUDIT REPORT PRODUCED

Woscan information team use clincal commentary from board performance summary report to complete audit report in conjunction with MCN manager/lead clinicians.





AUDIT REPORT PUBLISHED

Includes regional analysis, board comments & action plan template for NHS boards to complete.

ACTION PLANS DEVELOPED



Regional/NHS Board action plans for the year ahead completed by NHS boards, reviewed by MCN Manager/lead clinicians to identify priority areas.

Boards have 2 months to generate action plans from when audit report published.



PROGRESS MONITORED

Progress monitored through NHS board leads at MCN advisory boards and regular updates are provided to RCAG.

NHS Board responsibility NoScan information team responsibility

Appendix 3: NHS Board Action Plans

Testicular Cancer QPI Action / Improvement Plan

Area:	NHS Forth Valley
Action Plan Lead:	
Date:	

KEY	(Status)
1	Action fully implemented
2	Action agreed but not yet implemented
3	No action taken (please state reason)

ODI Na	Action Doguirod	Health Board	Action	Times	cales	Lood	Drawnag/Action Status	Status	
QPI No.	Action Required	Taken		Start	End	Lead	Progress/Action Status	(see Key)	
	Ensure actions mirror those detailed in Audit Report.	Detail specific action be taken by the NHS		Insert date	Insert date	Insert name of responsible lead for each specific action.	Provide detail of action in progress, change in practices, problems encountered or reasons why no action taken.	Insert No. from key above.	
QPI 3 – Primary Orchidectomy	NHS Forth Valley to ensure the radiology department contact the consultant on-call directly to avoid any extra delay, if a patient is found to have a suspicion of malignant tumour at ultrasound.								

<u>Testicular Cancer QPI Action / Improvement Plan</u>

Area:	NHS Greater Glasgow and Clyde
Action Plan Lead:	
Date:	

KEY	(Status)
1	Action fully implemented
2	Action agreed but not yet implemented
3	No action taken (please state reason)

QPI No.	Action Required	Health Board Action	Timescales		Lead	Progress/Action Status	Status
		Taken	Start	End	Leau	Progress/Action Status	(see Key)
QPI 3 – Primary Orchidectomy:	Ensure actions mirror those detailed in Audit Report. NHSGGC to reiterate to Clyde team the need for orchidectomy patients to be managed by on-call team.	Detail specific actions that will be taken by the NHS Board.	Insert date	Insert date	Insert name of responsible lead for each specific action.	Provide detail of action in progress, change in practices, problems encountered or reasons why no action taken.	Insert No. from key above.