West of Scotland Cancer Network

Upper GI Cancer Managed Clinical Network



Upper Gastro-intestinal Cancer Regional Follow-up Guideline

Prepared by	M Forshaw / D McIntosh / C Wilson
Approved by	Upper GI Cancer MCN Advisory Board/Regional Cancer
	Clinical Leads Group/Regional Cancer Advisory Group
Issue date	16 December 2019
Review date	16 December 2022
Version	v2.0

Upper GI Cancer Regional Follow-up Guidelines Review

The purpose of the Upper GI Cancer Regional Follow-up Guideline is to ensure consistency of practice across the West of Scotland and the principles of any revision to the follow-up guideline will continue to ensure that management of patients after initial treatment for upper GI cancer are:

- Patient-centred:
- · Aligned to recognised current best practice;
- Equitable across the region;
- · Clinically safe and effective; and
- Efficiently delivered.

The guideline continues to be developed on the basis that the key aims underpinning the purpose of follow-up are to:

- Manage and treat symptoms and complications;
- Provide psychological and supportive care; and
- Detect and treat recurrent disease.

Follow-up practice has to be patient-centred and, ideally, supported by empirical evidence of improved outcomes and survival. In the absence of good quality evidence, care should be tailored to the needs and preference of patients. The construction of appropriate follow-up guidance requires balancing perceived patient needs with effective utilisation of resources.

These guidelines were initially published in 2012, and considered to be the standard practice for follow-up of patients with upper GI cancer, as a series of 5 treatment dependant pathways:

- 1. Best supportive care
- 2. Palliative chemotherapy
- 3. Palliative radiotherapy
- 4. Surgery with curative intent
- 5. Radical chemoradiotherapy and radical radiotherapy with curative intent

A review in May 2015 determined that the guidelines remained current and they were reissued as extant guidance. A subsequent review during 2018 has determined that an additional pathway is required to be included:

6. Chemoradiotherapy with potential for salvage oesophagectomy

Appendix 1 outlines the rationale for the inclusion of this pathway and details the perceived impact on existing services.

It is also recommended that all patients receiving treatment for UGI cancers should undergo a holistic needs assessment (HNA) by a suitably trained individual at defined time points during follow up care.

Regional Cancer Clinical Leads are asked to consider the additional pathway proposed for inclusion in the guideline and provide continued support to the practices as detailed within.

Best Supportive Care

Summary of future model for *best supportive care for primary cancer follow-up care is outlined in table 1.

Table 1: Best Supportive Care

Visit	Post treatment	Purpose
	Any Time	Primary Care services clinical assessment **
		Local provision of generic palliative care in the community
		Access to nutritional assessment and onward referral to Dietetics as clinically indicated
		Rapid access to CNS*** / Endoscopic services
		For progressive local symptoms from primary tumour
		Rapid access to Oncology services as indicated
		Oncologist involvement via MDT as appropriate
		Rapid access to Specialist Palliative Care services
		For complex symptom control and psychosocial support
		Development of new or progressive symptoms require
		 Assessment
		 Investigation as clinically indicated

Notes:

**Primary Care Setting GP is lead provider

**Secondary Care Setting CNS is lead provider

Supporting Documentation

- Written points of contacts given to patient to ensure rapid access into the service.
- Written end of treatment letter prepared for GP to ensure rapid access into the service.
- List of symptoms to look out for (checklist).
- No further treatment is an option and this should be clearly communicated to specialist palliative and primary care services.

^{*}Patients are not on radical or palliative oncology pathways.

^{***}Patient generated contact with secondary care CNS as required (via answering machine).

Palliative Chemotherapy

Summary of future model for palliative chemotherapy for primary cancer follow-up care is outlined in table 2.

Table 2: Palliative chemotherapy *

Visit	Post treatment Timing	Purpose
1	4-8 weeks**	Oncological assessment and detection of disease progression CT imaging*** - protocolised
	Any Time	Development of new or progressive symptoms require

Notes:

*** CT imaging will be dictated by clinical symptoms and not routinely undertaken unless mandated by a clinical trial protocol.

Further systemic therapy may be indicated at progression depending on performance status, clinical assessment and may include a clinical trial.

If patient not a candidate for palliative chemotherapy at initial presentation or subsequent relapse they should follow the best supportive care pathway.

No further treatment is an option and this should be clearly communicated to specialist palliative and primary care services.

^{*}Palliative chemotherapy indicated for management of symptoms.

^{**} Following initial post treatment assessment further follow up visits will be driven by the patient and/or clinician dependant on symptoms, further available treatment options and patient fitness and patient's wishes.

Palliative Radiotherapy

Summary of future model for palliative radiotherapy for primary cancer follow-up care is outlined in table 3 below.

Table 3: Palliative radiotherapy

Visit	Post treatment	Purpose
1	After last XRT	Oncological Clinical assessment List of symptoms to look out for (checklist)
	Any Time	Best supportive care follow up plan May include direct referral to oncologist
	Any Time	Development of new or progressive symptoms require

Notes:

No further treatment is an option and this should be clearly communicated to specialist palliative and primary care services.

Surgical with curative intent

Summary of future 5-year model for surgical (with curative intent) for primary cancer follow up care is outlined in table 4 below.

Table 4: Surgical with curative intent

Visit	Postoperative	Purpose
71310	Timing	1 dipose
1	4 weeks	Post operative wound check
		Assessment of nutritional risk
		Discussion of pathology results
		Referral for further treatment
		•
2	3 months	Nutritional assessment *
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity
3	6 months	Nutritional assessment *
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity
4	9 months	Nutritional assessment *
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity
5	12 months	Nutritional assessment *
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity
6	18 months	Nutritional assessment *
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity
7	24 months	Open access to surgical team if any new concerning symptoms
8	36 months	Hospital clinic visit (or GP if preferred)
9	48 months	Hospital clinic visit (or GP if preferred)
10	60 months	Hospital clinic visit (or GP if preferred)
	Any Time	Development of new or progressive symptoms require
		Assessment
		Investigation - Initial CT chest/abdomen/pelvis and
		endoscopy with further investigation as clinically
		indicated (PET-CT, bone scan, US)

Notes:

^{*} It is widely recognised that this group of patients are at continued nutritional risk, and access to nutritional assessment and onward referral to dietetic services, where appropriate, should be available.

Radical chemoradiotherapy and radical radiotherapy with curative intent not fit for salvage surgery

Summary of future 5-year model for radical chemoradiotherapy and radical radiotherapy (with curative intent) for primary cancer follow up care is outlined in table 5.

Table 5: Radical chemoradiotherapy and radical radiotherapy with curative intent

Visit		Purpose
	Timing	
1	4-6 weeks	Oncological
		Review for post radiotherapy toxicities
2	3 months	CT Imaging and Endoscopy
		Assessment of response to treatment
		MDT review to review above and assess whether patient suitable
		for potential salvage follow up approach
3	3-4 months	Oncological
		Review results of investigations
4	6 months	Oncological
		Nutritional assessment*
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity
	0 th	Any symptomatic problems
5	9 months	Oncological Olinical assessment
	40	Clinical assessment
6	12 months	Oncological Nutritional accomment *
		Nutritional assessment *
		Identify non-malignant causes of morbidity o Any symptomatic problems
7	18 months	Oncological
'	10 months	Clinical examination
8	24 months	Oncological clinical for clinical and nutritional assessment
9	36 months	Hospital clinic visit (or GP if preferred)
10	48 months	Hospital clinic visit (or GP if preferred)
11	60 months	Hospital clinic visit (or GP if preferred)
	Any Time	Development of new or progressive symptoms require
		Assessment
		 Investigation - Initial CT chest/abdomen/pelvis and
		endoscopy with further investigation as clinically
		indicated (PET-CT, bone scan, US)
		Review results of investigation and if patient symptomatic then
		refer to surgical / gastroenterology team
		Salvage surgery / endoscopic palliation / systemic therapy / best
		supportive care

Notes:

No further treatment is an option and this should be clearly communicated to specialist palliative and primary care services.

Frequency and duration of follow up may be altered depending on patient's fitness and wishes.

^{*} It is widely recognised that this group of patients are at continued nutritional risk, and access to nutritional assessment and onward referral to dietetic services, where appropriate, should be available.

Chemoradiotherapy with potential for salvage oesophagectomy

Summary of future 5-year model for chemoradiotherapy with potential for salvage oesophagectomy radical radiotherapy for primary cancer follow up care is outlined in table 6.

Table 6: Chemoradiotherapy with potential for salvage oesophagectomy

Visit	Post treatment	Purpose	
4	Timing		
1	4-6 weeks	Oncological Project for a set as distinguished.	
2	3 months	Review for post radiotherapy toxicities	
	3 months	CT Imaging and Endoscopy	
		Assessment of response to treatment	
		MDT review to review above and assess whether patient suitable for potential salvage follow up approach	
Diec	Luccion of WoS MD		
DISC	Discussion at WoS MDT, decision made based on fitness/co-morbidity as to whether patient suitable for salvage surgery.		
If N	If NO, then continue with radical chemoradiotherapy and radical radiotherapy with curative intent follow up schedule as per table 5 - radical chemoradiotherapy and radical radiotherapy with curative intent		
		YES, then continue with the following schedule	
3	6 months	Endoscopy Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
4	9 months	Endoscopy	
		Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
5	12 months	Endoscopy OT (sheet sheet and sheet)	
		CT (chest, abdo, pelvis)	
6	15 months	Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results Endoscopy	
	13 1110111113	Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
7	18 months	Endoscopy	
		CT (chest, abdo, pelvis)	
		Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
8	21 months	Endoscopy	
	0.4 (1	Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
9	24 months	Endoscopy CT (chast abdo polyio)	
		CT (chest, abdo, pelvis) Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
10	36 months	Endoscopy	
'	oo monano	Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
11	48 months	Endoscopy	
		Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
12	60 months	Endoscopy	
	•	Oncological for clinical assessment and review of results	
	Any time	Development of new or progressive symptoms require	
		Assessment Investigation Initial CT sheet/shdemen/nebile and	
		 Investigation - Initial CT chest/abdomen/pelvis and endoscopy with further investigation as clinically 	
		indicated (PET-CT, bone scan, US)	
		Review results of investigation and if patient symptomatic then	
		refer to surgical / gastroenterology team	
		Salvage surgery / endoscopic palliation / systemic therapy / best	
		supportive care	
1	ı		

Frequency and duration of follow up may be altered depending on patient's fitness and wishes.